

WARNING:

Changes in appearance and in display of formulas, tables, and text may have occurred during translation of this document into an electronic medium. This HTML document may not be an accurate version of the official document and should not be relied on.

For an official paper copy, contact the Florida Public Service Commission at contact@psc.state.fl.us or call (850) 413- 6770. There may be a charge for the copy.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into DOCKET NO. 000075-TP
appropriate methods to compensate ORDER NO. PSC-00-2350-PCO-TP
carriers for exchange of traffic ISSUED: December 7, 2000
subject to Section 251 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER ADOPTING, INCORPORATING, AND SUPPLEMENTING ORDER NO. PSC-00-2229-PCO-TP ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

On January 21, 2000, this docket was established to investigate the appropriate methods to compensate carriers for exchange of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. This matter is currently set for administrative hearing.

On November 22, 2000, Order Establishing Procedure No. PSC-00-2229-PCO-TP was issued. This Order adopts and incorporates that Order by reference.

Part II of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) sets forth provisions regarding the development of competitive markets in the telecommunications industry. Section 251 of the Act regards interconnection with the incumbent local exchange carrier and Section 252 sets forth the procedures for negotiation, arbitration, and approval of agreements.

This Order is issued pursuant to the authority granted by Rule 28-106.211, *Florida Administrative Code*, which provides that the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, prevent delay, and promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case.

The scope of this proceeding shall be based upon the issues raised by the parties and Commission staff up to and during the prehearing conference, unless modified by the Commission.

By my direction, additional issues (numbers 10 - 17) are added to be determined in this proceeding (See Supplemental Issues List, Attachment A) and, therefore, this Order supplements Order No. PSC-00-2229-PCO-TP. However, a separate schedule is established to address these additional issues and is set forth in the body of this Order.

Discovery

In an effort to maintain the current hearing schedule, all discovery responses shall be served within twenty days of receipt of the discovery request. All discovery requests and responses shall also be served on Commission staff.

When discovery requests are served and the respondent intends to object to or ask for clarification of the discovery request, the objection or request for clarification shall be made within ten days of service of the discovery request. This procedure is intended to reduce delay in resolving discovery disputes.

The hearing in this docket is set for March 7-9, 2001. Unless authorized by the Prehearing Officer for good cause shown, all discovery shall be completed by **February 28, 2001**. All interrogatories, requests for admissions, and requests for production of documents shall be numbered sequentially in order to facilitate their identification. The discovery requests will be numbered sequentially within a set and any subsequent discovery requests will continue the sequential numbering system. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, *Florida Administrative Code*, unless subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer, the following shall apply: **interrogatories, including all subparts, shall be limited to 200 for all issues, and requests for production of documents, including all subparts, shall be limited to 200. This limit applies as a total amount for the original and supplemental issues.**

Any information provided pursuant to a discovery request for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested shall be treated by the Commission and the parties as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), *Florida Statutes*, pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission, or upon the return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been made a part of the evidentiary record in the proceeding, it shall be returned expeditiously to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of the proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time period set forth in Section 364.183, *Florida Statutes*.

Diskette Filings

See Rule 25-22.028(1), *Florida Administrative Code*, for the requirements of filing on diskette for certain utilities.

Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits

Each party **and staff** shall prefile, in writing, all testimony that it intends to sponsor. Such testimony shall be typed on 8 ½ inch x 11 inch transcript-quality paper, double spaced, with 25 numbered lines, on consecutively numbered pages, with left margins sufficient to allow for binding (1.25 inches).

Each exhibit intended to support a witness' prefiled testimony shall be attached to that witness' testimony when filed, identified by his or her initials, and consecutively numbered beginning with 1. All other known exhibits shall be marked for identification at the prehearing conference. After an opportunity for opposing parties to object to introduction of the exhibits and to cross-examine the witness sponsoring them, exhibits may be offered into evidence at the hearing. Exhibits accepted into evidence at the hearing shall be numbered sequentially. The pages of each exhibit shall also be numbered sequentially prior to filing with the Commission.

An original and 15 copies of all testimony and exhibits shall be prefiled with the Director, Bureau of

Records and Hearing Services., by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of all prefiled testimony and exhibits shall be served by mail or hand delivery to all other parties and staff no later than the date filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely prefile exhibits and testimony from any witness in accordance with the foregoing requirements may bar admission of such exhibits and testimony.

Prehearing Statement

All parties in this docket shall file a prehearing statement. Staff will also file a prehearing statement. The original and 15 copies of each prehearing statement shall be prefiled with the Director of the Bureau of Records and Hearing Services. by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of the prehearing statement shall be served on all other parties and staff no later than the date it is filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely file a prehearing statement shall be a waiver of any issue not raised by other parties or by the Commission. In addition, such failure shall preclude the party from presenting testimony in support of its position. Such prehearing statements shall set forth the following information in the sequence listed below.

- (a) The name of all known witnesses that may be called by the party, and the subject matter of their testimony;
- (b) a description of all known exhibits that may be used by the party, whether they may be identified on a composite basis, and the witness sponsoring each;
- (c) a statement of basic position in the proceeding;
- (d) a statement of each question of fact the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
- (e) a statement of each question of law the party considers at issue and the party's position on each such issue;
- (f) a statement of each policy question the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
- (g) a statement of issues that have been stipulated to by the parties;
- (h) a statement of all pending motions or other matters the party seeks action upon;
- (i) a statement identifying the parties' pending requests or claims for confidentiality; and
- (j) a statement as to any requirement set forth in this order that cannot be complied with, and the reasons therefore.
- (k) a statement identifying any decision or pending decision of the FCC or any court that has or may either preempt or otherwise impact the Commission's ability to resolve any of the issues presented or the relief requested in this matter.

Prehearing Conference

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.209, *Florida Administrative Code*, a prehearing conference will be held February 14, 2001 at the Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida. Any party who fails to attend the prehearing conference, unless excused by the Prehearing Officer, will have waived all issues and positions raised in that party's prehearing statement.

Prehearing Procedure: Waiver of Issues

Any issue not raised by a party prior to the issuance of the prehearing order shall be waived by that party, except for good cause shown. A party seeking to raise a new issue after the issuance of the prehearing order shall demonstrate that: it was unable to identify the issue because of the complexity of the matter; discovery or other prehearing procedures were not adequate to fully develop the issue; due diligence was exercised to obtain facts touching on the issue; information obtained subsequent to the issuance of the prehearing order was not previously available to enable the party to identify the issue; and introduction of the issue could not be to the prejudice or surprise of any party. Specific reference shall be made to the information received, and how it enabled the party to identify the issue.

Unless a matter is not at issue for that party, each party shall diligently endeavor in good faith to take a position on each issue prior to issuance of the prehearing order. When a party is unable to take a position on an issue, it shall bring that fact to the attention of the Prehearing Officer. If the Prehearing Officer finds that the party has acted diligently and in good faith to take a position, and further finds that the party's failure to take a position will not prejudice other parties or confuse the proceeding, the party may maintain "no position at this time" prior to hearing and thereafter identify its position in a post-hearing statement of issues. In the absence of such a finding by the Prehearing Officer, the party shall have waived the entire issue. When an issue and position have been properly identified, any party may adopt that issue and position in its post-hearing statement.

Document Identification

To facilitate the management of documents in this docket, exhibits will be numbered at the Prehearing Conference. Each exhibit submitted shall have the following in the upper right-hand corner: the docket number, the witness's name, the word "Exhibit" followed by a blank line for the exhibit number and the title of the exhibit.

An example of the typical exhibit identification format is as follows:

Docket No. 12345-TL

J. Doe Exhibit No. _____

Cost Studies for Minutes of Use by Time of Day

Issues

Attached to this order as Appendix "A" is a list of the issues which have been identified in this proceeding by the parties and this Commission. Prefiled testimony and prehearing statements shall address the issues set forth in Appendix "A".

Controlling Dates

The following dates have been established to govern the key activities of this case.

- | | |
|--|--------------------------|
| 1) Direct testimony and exhibits on Issues
1 - 9 | December 1, 2000 |
| 1a) Direct testimony and exhibits on Issues
10 - 17 | December 29, 2000 |
| 2) Rebuttal testimony and exhibits on
Issues 1 - 9 | January 10, 2001 |
| 2a) Rebuttal testimony and exhibits on
Issues 10 - 17 | January 26, 2001 |
| 3) Prehearing Statements for all issues | February 2, 2001 |
| 4) Prehearing Conference | February 14, 2001 |
| 5) Hearing | March 7-9, 2001 |
| 6) Briefs | April 6, 2001 |

Use of Confidential Information At Hearing

It is the policy of this Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 364.183, [Florida Statutes](#), to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding. Any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 364.183, [Florida Statutes](#), shall notify the Prehearing Officer and all parties of record by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or if not known at that time, no later than seven (7) days prior to the beginning of the hearing. The notice shall include a procedure to assure that the confidential nature of the information is preserved as required by statute. Failure of any party to comply with the seven-day requirement described above shall be grounds to deny the party the opportunity to present evidence which is proprietary confidential business information.

When confidential information is used in the hearing, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the Court Reporter, in envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material. Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise the confidential information. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible to do so. At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the Court Reporter shall be retained in the Division of Records and Reporting's confidential files.

Post-Hearing Procedure

Each party shall file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions. A summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of the prehearing order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words. If a party fails to file a post-hearing statement in conformance with the rule, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, *Florida Administrative Code*, a party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than **80 pages for all issues**, and shall be filed at the same time.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Lila A. Jaber, as Prehearing Officer, that the provisions of this Order adopting, incorporating, and supplementing Order No. PSC-00-2229-PCO-TP, issued November 22, 2000, shall govern this proceeding unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Lila A. Jaber as Prehearing Officer, this 7th Day of December, 2000.

/s/ Lila A. Jaber

LILA A. JABER

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

This is a facsimile copy. A signed copy of the order may be obtained by calling 1-850-413-6770.

(S E A L)

DWC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), [Florida Statutes](#), to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, [Florida Statutes](#), as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, *Florida Administrative Code*, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, *Florida Administrative Code*, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, *Florida Administrative Code*. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Attachment "A"

Issues List to be addressed at the March 7 - 9 2001, Hearing

Compensation for Internet Service Provider Traffic Issues:

1. (a) Does the Commission have the jurisdiction to adopt an intercarrier compensation mechanism for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?

(b) If so, does the Commission have the jurisdiction to adopt such an intercarrier compensation mechanism through a generic proceeding?

2. Is delivery of ISP-bound traffic subject to compensation under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996?

3. What actions should the Commission take, if any, with respect to establishing an appropriate compensation mechanism for ISP-bound traffic in light of current decisions and activities of the courts and the FCC?

4. What policy considerations should inform the Commission's decision in this docket?

5. Is the Commission required to set a cost-based mechanism for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?

6. What factors should the Commission consider in setting the compensation mechanisms for delivery of ISP-bound traffic?

7. Should intercarrier compensation for delivery of ISP-bound traffic be limited to carrier and ISP arrangements involving circuit-switched technologies?

8. Should ISP-bound traffic be separated from non-ISP bound traffic for purposes of assessing any reciprocal compensation payments? If so, how?

9. Should the Commission establish compensation mechanisms for delivery of ISP-bound traffic to be used in the absence of the parties reaching an agreement or negotiating a compensation mechanism? If so, what should be the mechanisms?

Supplemental Issues List

General Compensation Issues:

10. Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act), the FCC's rules and orders, and [Florida Statutes](#), what is the Commission's jurisdiction to specify the rates, terms, and conditions governing compensation for transport and delivery of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Act? (Legal issue)

11. What types of local network architectures are currently employed by ILECs and ALECs, and how does a carrier's past, present, and forecasted traffic volumes affect its choice of architectures? (Informational issue)

12. Pursuant to the Act and FCC's rules and orders:

(a) Under what conditions, if any, is an ALEC entitled to be compensated at the ILEC's tandem interconnection rate?

(b) What is "similar functionality?"

(c) What is "comparable geographic area?"

13. How should a "local calling area" be defined, for purposes of determining the applicability of

reciprocal compensation?

14. (a) What are the responsibilities of an originating local carrier to transport its traffic to another local carrier?

(b) For each responsibility identified in part (a), what form of compensation, if any, should apply?

15. (a) Under what conditions, if any, should carriers be permitted to assign NPA/NXX codes to end users outside the rate center in which the NPA/NXX is homed?

(b) Should the intercarrier compensation mechanism for calls to these NPA/NXXs be based upon the physical location of the customer, the rate center to which the NPA/NXX is homed, or some other criterion?

16. (a) What is the definition of Internet Protocol (IP) telephony?

(b) How should IP telephony be compensated?

17. Should the Commission establish compensation mechanisms governing the transport and delivery of traffic subject to Section 251 of the Act to be used in the absence of the parties reaching an agreement or negotiating a compensation mechanism? If so, what should be the mechanisms?

This document was automatically converted to HTML using a program custom-written by the FPSC. If you have any questions or comments regarding this conversion, you can send e-mail to the programmers [Allison Orange](#) and [Chip Orange](#).